

This ground of rejection is respectfully traversed.

The Examiner lists in the Action a number of compounds which are alleged to anticipate or render obvious the claimed compounds. The Examiner appears to compare the prior art compounds to claim 1 of the pending application. However claim 1 is cancelled and claim 5 is now the main independent claim.

The compounds listed on page 4 of the Action do not have an F on the Ph-CH₂- group according to the compounds of claim 5.

While the compounds on page 5 of the Action do have an F substituted phenyl group, the R34 group examples are excluded by the proviso language of claim 5.

The compounds of page 6 of the Action appear to be no more relevant to the compounds of claim 5 than the foregoing compounds. That is, the first listed compound lacks the F-Ph-CH₂ group of the claimed compounds. The second listed compound has a different ring structure including O, not N.

The compound at the bottom of page 7 lacks an N in the right side ring to which R28 is attached.

In view of the foregoing, it is believed that each ground of rejection set forth in the Official Action has been overcome, and that the application is now in condition for allowance. Accordingly, such allowance is solicited.

Respectfully submitted,

Brian A. JOHNS et al.

By: Warren M. Cheek
Warren M. Cheek
Registration No. 33,367
Attorney for Applicants

WMC/dlk
Washington, D.C. 20006-1021
Telephone (202) 721-8200
Facsimile (202) 721-8250
March 19, 2009